

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/963,684	09/27/2001	Yoshinori Kano	492322002100	9009	
7	590 11/18/2003	EXAM	EXAMINER		
Barry E. Brets	schneider	PRONE, J	PRONE, JASON D		
Morrison & Fo	erster LLP	A. D. M. L. D. LIM	DADED AUDIDED		
1650 Tysons B	lvd.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Ste. 300		3724			
McLean, VA	22102-3915	DATE MAILED: 11/18/200	3		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_								
4		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	Ο,			
Office Action Summary			09/963,68	4	KANO ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
			Jason Pro		3724			
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commu Reply	inicati n appe	ears on the	cover sneet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>04 Se</u>	eptember 2	<u>003</u> .				
2a)⊠ T	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-6 and 9-12</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	claim(s) <u>7,8 and 13</u> is/are rejected	l.						
•	claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)∐ C	claim(s) are subject to rest	riction and/or	election re	equirement.				
Application	n Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
	ne drawing(s) filed on 04 Septem					iner.		
	pplicant may not request that any ob	•		· ·		D 4 404(4)		
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) includi	_	•					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
-	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	m for foreign	priority	dor 25 11 5 C) (d) or (f)			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 								
Attachment(s								
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ution Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		·	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/963,684

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 7, 8, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saito et al. in view of Evans et al. Saito et al. discloses the invention including at least one unit base (21) capable of carrying at least one unit (Fig. 1), a platen (13) for sliding the unit base (Fig. 1), a linear motor (10) comprising at least one stationary member mounted on the platen (11) and a moving member mounted on the unit base (12) but fails to disclose a dissipation portion comprising a heat dissipation fin disposed parallel with a direction of sliding movement. Evans et al. teaches the use of a heat dissipation portion (Abstract) comprising a heat dissipation fin disposed parallel with a direction of sliding movement (3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have provided Saito et al. with a heat dissipation portion, as taught by Evans et al., to control the heat level during operation.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 04 September 2003 have been fully considered but ${}^{\circ}\ell$ they are not persuasive. The use if a heat dissipation fin to cool an object is old and well known in the art. Evans et al. teaches a method and apparatus for cooling an electric

Application/Control Number: 09/963,684

Art Unit: 3724

device with a fin to electric device disclosed by the Saito et al. patent. Therefore, the rejection is valid and will remain.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Prone whose telephone number is 703-605-4287. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00, Mon - (every other) Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-9306.



Art Unit: 3724

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1148.

JP

November 13, 2003

Allan N. Shoap

Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700